



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/866,279 05/30/97 DYMECKI S 234805

HM12/0524

CUSHMAN DARBY & CUSHMAN  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP OF  
PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO LLP EAST TOW  
1100 NEW YORK AVE N W NINTH FLOOR  
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

EXAMINER

BAKER, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1632

DATE MAILED:

05/24/99

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

Anne Baker

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

11

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anne-Marie Baker (3) Gary Tanigawa  
(2) Bruce Campbell (4)

Date of interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1-49

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Issues relating to the prior art suggestion to make the mice of the invention and overcoming the 102 and 103 rejections based on said prior art were discussed. Applicant may be able to submit evidence supporting contention that other investigators made unsuccessful attempts to make them.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Anne-Marie Baker  
Examiner's Signature